arXiv:1610.01745v1 [math.AP] 6 Oct 2016

A note on smoothing effects for Schrödinger equations with inverse-square potentials

Haruya Mizutani

Abstract

The purpose of this short note is to prove global-in-time smoothing effects for the Schrödinger equation with potentials exhibiting critical singularity. A typical example of admissible potentials is the inverse-square potential with a subcritical constant.

1 Introduction

This note is concerned with smoothing properties of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u(t,x) = Hu(t,x) + F(t,x); \quad u(0,x) = \psi(x), \tag{1.1}$$

with given data $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $F \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, where $H = -\Delta + V(x)$ is a Schrödinger operator on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, with a real-valued function V which decays at spatial infinity in a suitable sense and has a critical singularity at the origin. A typical example we have in mind is the inverse-square potential $V(x) = a|x|^{-2}$ with a constant $a > -(n-2)^2/4$.

Let us first recall several known results for the free case, describing the motivation of this paper. It is well-known that the solution $u = e^{it\Delta}\psi$ to the free Schrödinger equation

$$i\partial_t u(t,x) = -\Delta u(t,x); \quad u|_{t=0} = \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

satisfies the following global-in-time smoothing effect

$$||\langle x\rangle^{-\rho}|D|^{1/2}e^{it\Delta}\psi||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \leq C||\psi||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\langle x\rangle = (1+|x|^2)^{1/2}$, $\rho > 1/2$ and $|D| = (-\Delta)^{1/2}$ (see Ben-Artzi and Klainerman [2] for $n \geq 3$ and Chihara [8] for $n = 2$). When $n \geq 3$, the estimate of the form

$$||w(x)e^{it\Delta}\psi||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \leq C||w||_{L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)}||\psi||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{1.3}$$

was proved by Kato and Yajima [17]. This estimate also follows from Hölder's inequality and the endpoint Strichartz estimate proved by Keel and Tao [18]:

$$||e^{it\Delta}\psi||_{L^2(\mathbb{R};L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C||\psi||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{1.4}$$

which can be also regarded as a smoothing property in L^p-spaces. All of these three estimates are fundamental tools in the study of Cauchy problem and scattering theory for both linear and nonlinear Schrödinger equations (see [16, 17, 7, 28, 27] and references therein). It is also worth noting that (1.3) is equivalent to the uniform estimate of the difference of the free resolvent $w(x)(R_0(z) - R_0(\overline{z}))w(x)$ (see the next section for more details).

There is a vast literature on extending these estimates (1.2)–(1.4) to the Schrödinger operator $H = -\Delta + V$ with potential $V(x)$. For the case when V has enough regularity and decays sufficiently fast at spatial infinity, we refer to [26, 11, 21, 24, 12, 23] and references therein.

There are also several results in the case when V has critical singularity. In particular, the Schrödinger operator with the inverse-square potential of the form

$$H_a = -\Delta + a|x|^{-2}, \quad a > -\frac{(n-2)^2}{4},$$

has attracted increasing attention since it represents a borderline case for the validity of (1.2)–(1.4) ([10, 13]), where note that $(n-2)^2/4$ is the best constant in Hardy's inequality

$$\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\int |x|^{-2}|u|^2dx \le \int |\nabla u|^2 dx, \quad u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{1.5}$$

We refer to [5, 6, 1, 3] for Kato–Yajima type estimates (1.3) and to [5, 6, 3, 22] for Strichartz estimates (1.4). Concerning the estimate (1.2), in a very recent paper [4], the authors showed, among the others, the following

Theorem 1.1 ([4, Theorem 1.2]). *Let $n \ge 3$, $a \ge -(n-2)^2/4 + 1/4$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $e^{-itH_a}\psi$ satisfies*

$$||w(|x|)|D|^{1/2}e^{-itH_a}\psi||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \le C_\varepsilon ||\psi||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tag{1.6}$$

where $w(r) = r^{(\varepsilon-1)/2}(1+r^\varepsilon)^{-1}$.

The condition $a \ge -(n-2)^2/4 + 1/4$ was used to ensure that w satisfies some conditions for two-sided weighted norm estimates

$$C_1||w(x)(-\Delta)^{s/2}f||_{L^2} \le ||w(x)H_a^{s/2}f||_{L^2} \le C_2||w(x)(-\Delta)^{s/2}f||_{L^2}$$

established by the same paper (see [4, Theorem 1.1]). Then authors raised a question whether the same estimate as (1.6) holds under the condition $a > -(n-2)^2/4$. In this short note we give an affirmative answer for more general Schrödinger operators $H = -\Delta + V(x)$ with a class of real-valued potentials exhibiting critical singularity, as a corollary of the abstract method by our previous work [3].

From now on we let $n \ge 3$ and impose the following condition:

Assumption A. $V(x)$ a real-valued function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $|x|V \in L^{n,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \cdot \nabla V \in L^{n/2,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $-\Delta + V \ge -\delta\Delta$ and $-\Delta - V - x\cdot\nabla V \ge -\delta\Delta$ in the sense of forms, that is, for all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\langle(-\Delta + V)u, u\rangle \ge \delta||\nabla u||_{L^2}, \quad \langle(-\Delta - V - x\cdot(\nabla V))u, u\rangle \ge \delta||\nabla u||_{L^2}.$$

Here $\langle f, g\rangle = \int f\overline{g}dx$ is the inner product in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $L^{p,q}$ is the Lorentz space (see the end of this section). A typical example satisfying Assumption A is the inverse-square potential $V(x) = a|x|^{-2}$ with $a > -(n-2)^2/4$. Indeed, we have in this case $-V - x\cdot\nabla V = V \ge (1-\delta)\Delta$. However, Assumption A is general enough to include some potentials with multiple singularities. For instance, we let $c_1, c_2 > 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\chi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \le \chi \le 1$ and $|\chi^{(k)}(t)| \le |t|^{-k-1}$ for $|t| \ge 1$ and define

$$V(x) = \frac{-(n-2)^2/4 + c_1}{|x|^2} - \frac{c_2\chi(|x-\alpha|)}{|x-\alpha|}.$$

Then V satisfies Assumption A with $\delta = c_1 - c_2(2 + \sup|\chi'|)(|\alpha| + 1)$ if

$$0 < c_2 < \frac{c_1}{(2+\sup|\chi'|)(|\alpha|+1)}.$$

Under Assumption A, Hardy's inequality (1.5) implies that the sesquilinear form

$$Q_H(u,v) = \langle (-\Delta + V)u, v\rangle, \quad u, v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is symmetric, non-negative and closable such that the domain of its closure $\overline{Q}_H$ satisfies $D(\overline{Q}_H) = \mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let H be the Friedrichs extension of $\overline{Q}_H$ with form domain $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In order to state the results, we introduce some notation. Let e^{-itH} be the unitary group on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ generated by H via Stone's theorem and Γ_H the inhomogeneous propagator defined by

$$\Gamma_H F(t) = \int_0^t e^{-i(t-s)H} F(s) ds, \quad F \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)). \tag{1.7}$$

Then a unique (mild) solution to the Schrödinger equation (1.1) is given by

$$u(t) = e^{-itH}\psi - i\Gamma_H F(t). \tag{1.8}$$

We say that $v(x)$ belongs to the Muckenhoupt A_2 class if $v, 1/v \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $v \geq 0$ and

$$\Big(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B v(x)dx\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B \frac{1}{v(x)}dx\Big) \leq C$$

for all ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with some constant $C > 0$ independent of B.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $n \geq 3$, V satisfy Assumption A and $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose $w(|x|)^2 \in A_2$ and, for any $j = 1, 2, ..., n$, there exists $C_j > 0$ such that*

$$w(|x|) \leq C_j w(x_j), \quad x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then there exists $C > 0$, independent of w, such that e^{-itH} satisfies

$$||w(|x|)|D|^{1/2} e^{-itH}\psi||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^{1+n})} \leq C||w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||\psi||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Assuming $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ without loss of generality, it is easy to see that $w(r) = r^{(\varepsilon-1)/2}(1+r^\varepsilon)^{-1}$ fulfills the above conditions. Another typical example of w is $\langle x\rangle^{-\rho}$ in which case we have

Theorem 1.3. *Let $n \geq 3$, $\rho > 1/2$ and $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \{\dot{\mathcal{H}}^{-1/2,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ L^{\frac{2n}{n+2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$. Suppose V satisfies Assumption A. Then the solution u defined by (1.8) satisfies*

$$||u||_{L^2(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{B}^*)} \leq C||\psi||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C||F||_{L^2(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{A})} \tag{1.9}$$

for all $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $F \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}; L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}; \mathcal{A})$.

Here $\dot{\mathcal{H}}^{s,\mu}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the weighted homogeneous Sobolev space with the norm $||f||_{\dot{\mathcal{H}}^{s,\mu}} = ||\langle x\rangle^{-\mu}|D|^s f||_{L^2}$. Note that $(L^{\frac{2n}{n+2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = L^{\frac{2n}{n-2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(\dot{\mathcal{H}}^{-1/2,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n))^* = \dot{\mathcal{H}}^{1/2,-\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = L^{\frac{2n}{n+2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, (1.9) becomes the endpoint inhomogeneous Strichartz estimate and was proved by our previous work [3]. If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \dot{\mathcal{H}}^{-1/2,\rho}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, (1.9) is a generalization of (1.2) which seems to be new under Assumption A. However note that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ do not have to coincide.

Notation. Throughout the paper we use the following notation. For $T > 0$ and a Banach space $\mathcal{X}$, we denote $||F||_{L^p_T\mathcal{X}} = ||F||_{L^p([-T,T];\mathcal{X})}$. $L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the Lorentz space equipped with the norm $||\cdot||_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ satisfying $||f||_{L^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim ||td_f(t)^{1/p}||_{L^q(\mathbb{R}_+, t^{-1}dt)}$, where $d_f(t) := \mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |f(x)| > t\})$ is the distribution function of f. We use the convention $L^{\infty,\infty} = L^\infty$. For

$1 \le p, p_1, p_2 < \infty$ and $1 \le q, q_1, q_2 \le \infty$ satisfying $1/p = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$, $1/q = 1/q_1 + 1/q_2$, we have Hölder's inequality for Lorentz spaces:

$$||fg||_{L^{p,q}} \le C||f||_{L^{p_1,q_1}}||g||_{L^{p_2,q_2}}, \quad ||fg||_{L^{p,q}} \le C||f||_{L^\infty}||g||_{L^{p,q}}. \tag{1.10}$$

When $n \ge 3$, we also have Sobolev's inequality in Lorentz spaces:

$$||f||_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2},2}} \le C||\nabla f||_{L^2}, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}^1. \tag{1.11}$$

We refer to [14] for more details on Lorentz spaces. In what follows we often omit $\mathbb{R}^n$ from $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and so on, if there is no confusion.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. We first recall in the next section the abstract perturbation method developed in [3], which plays an important role in the proof of the main theorems. The proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 is given in Section 3.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Jean-Marc Bouclet for valuable discussions and for hospitality at the Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse, Université Paul Sabatier, where a part of this work has been done. He is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) JP25800083 and by Osaka University Research Abroad Program 150S007.

2 An abstract perturbation method

Here we recall the abstract method developed in [3]. We begin with recalling the notion of the (super)smoothness in the sense of Kato [16] and Kato-Yajima [17]. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $|| \cdot ||$, H a self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}$ and A a densely defined closed operator on $\mathcal{H}$. Note that A^* is also a densely defined closed operator (see [25, Theorem VIII.1]). Let $R_H(z) := (H - z)^{-1}$, $z \notin \sigma(H)$. Then we say that A is *H-smooth* with bound a if

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}} |\langle (R_H(z) - R_H(\overline{z}))A^*\psi, A^*\psi \rangle| \le \frac{a^2}{2}||\psi||^2, \quad \psi \in D(A^*).$$

We say that A is *H-supersmooth* with bound a if

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}} |\langle R_H(z)A^*\psi, A^*\psi \rangle| \le \frac{a}{2}||\psi||^2, \quad \psi \in D(A^*).$$

Note that if A is H-supersmooth with bound a then A is H-smooth with bound $(2a)^{1/2}$. The H-(super)smoothness is closely connected with smoothing effects.

Proposition 2.1. (1) *A is H-smooth with bound a if and only if, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$, $e^{-itH}\psi$ belongs to $D(A)$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and*

$$||Ae^{-itH}\psi||_{L^2(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{H})} \le a||\psi||. \tag{2.1}$$

(2) *Suppose A is H-supersmooth with bound a. Then, for any simple function $F : \mathbb{R} \to D(A^*)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $Ae^{-i(t-s)H}A^*F(s)$ is Bochner integrable in s over $[0, t]$ (or $[t, 0]$) and satisfies*

$$\left|\left|e^{-\varepsilon t}\int_0^t Ae^{-i(t-s)H}A^*F(s)ds\right|\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{H})} \le a||e^{-\varepsilon t}F||_{L^2(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{H})} \tag{2.2}$$

for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. Conversely, if the estimate holds (2.2) for all simple function $F : \mathbb{R} \to D(A^*)$ and $|\varepsilon| < \varepsilon_0$ with some $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, then A is H-supersmooth with bound a.

Proof. The first statement is due to [16, Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 5.1] (see also [25, Theorem XIII.25]). The second assertion was proved by [9, Theorem 2.3]. □

Note that if A is H-smooth then A is infinitesimally H-bounded ([25, Theorem XIII.22]). Also note that the estimate (2.2) can be replaced by

$$\left|\left|e^{-\varepsilon t}\int_0^t Ae^{-i(t-s)H}A^*F(s)ds\right|\right|_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}} \leq a||e^{-\varepsilon t}F||_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Indeed, (2.2) implies (2.3) since $s \in [-T,T]$ if $t \in [-T,T]$ and $s \in [0,t]$ (or $s \in [-t,0]$). Conversely, since (2.3) implies

$$\left|\left|e^{-\varepsilon t}\int_0^t Ae^{-i(t-s)H}A^*F(s)ds\right|\right|_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}} \leq a||e^{-\varepsilon t}F||_{L^2(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{H})}$$

and a is independent of T, one has (2.2) by letting $T \to \infty$. Let Γ_H be the inhomogeneous propagator defined by the formula (1.7) and set

$$\Gamma_H^*F(t) = \mathbb{1}_{[0,\infty)}(t)\int_t^T e^{-i(t-s)H}F(s)ds - \mathbb{1}_{(-\infty,0]}(t)\int_{-T}^t e^{-i(t-s)H}F(s)ds. \tag{2.4}$$

By a direct calculation, $\langle\langle \Gamma_H F, G\rangle\rangle_T = \langle\langle F, \Gamma_H^* G\rangle\rangle_T$ for $F, G \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}\mathcal{H}$, where

$$\langle\langle F, G\rangle\rangle_T := \int_{-T}^T \langle F(t), G(t)\rangle dt.$$

Hence Γ_H^* is the adjoint of Γ_H in $L^2_T\mathcal{H}$, In the abstract theorem below, the operators $A\Gamma_H$ and $A\Gamma_H^*$ for some H-smooth operator A play important roles. These operators are a priori well-defined on $L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}; D(H))$ since, for some $z \notin \sigma(H)$ and each $T > 0$,

$$||A\Gamma_H F||_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}} + ||A\Gamma_H^* F||_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}} \leq C_T||AR_0(z)||_{\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})}||R_0(z)F||_{L^1_T\mathcal{H}} < \infty$$

The next lemma provides a rigorous definition of $A\Gamma_H F(t)$ for $F \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R};\mathcal{H})$.

Lemma 2.2 ([3, Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.5])**.** *Suppose that A is H-smooth with bound a. Then $A\Gamma_H$ and $A\Gamma_H^*$ extend to bounded operators from $L^1_T\mathcal{H}$ to $L^2_T\mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$||A\Gamma_H F||_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}} \leq Ca||F||_{L^1_T\mathcal{H}}, \quad ||A\Gamma_H^* F||_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}} \leq Ca||F||_{L^1_T\mathcal{H}}$$

for any $T > 0$ and $F \in L^1_T\mathcal{H}$ with some $C > 0$ independent of a, T and F. Moreover, we have

$$A\Gamma_H F(t) = \int_0^t Ae^{-i(t-s)H}F(s)ds$$

for all simple function $F : [-T,T] \to \mathcal{H}$ and a.e. $t \in [-T,T]$. In particular $A\Gamma_H F(t)$ and $\int_0^t Ae^{-i(t-s)H}F(s)ds$ coincide in $L^2_T\mathcal{H}$.

In what follows, $A\Gamma_H$ and $A\Gamma_H^*$ denote such extensions. By Proposition 2.1 (1), (2.3) and this lemma, we see that if A is H-supersmooth with bound a then $A\Gamma_H A^*$ is

$$||A\Gamma_H A^* F||_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}} \leq a||F||_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}}$$

for all simple function $F : [-T,T] \to D(A^*)$.

Now we are ready to state our abstract theorem. Let (H_0, H) be a pair of self-adjoint operators on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $H = H_0 + V_1^*V_2$ in the following sense:

- V_1, V_2 are densely defined closed operators on $\mathcal{H}$ such that we have continuous embeddings $D(H_0) \subset D(V_1)$, $D(H) \subset D(V_1)$ and $D(H) \subset D(V_2)$.
- $\langle Hf, g\rangle = \langle f, H_0 g\rangle + \langle V_2 f, V_1 g\rangle$ for $f \in D(H)$ and $g \in D(H_0)$.

Note that, under the above conditions, V_1^*, V_2^* are also densely defined. Recall that a couple of two Banach spaces $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is said to be a Banach couple if both $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are algebraically and topologically embedded in a Hausdorff topological vector space $\mathcal{C}$.

Proposition 2.3 ([3, Theorem 4.7]). *Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ be two Banach spaces such that $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H})$ are Banach couples. Suppose that V_1 is H_0-smooth and V_2 is both H_0-smooth and H-smooth. Consider the following series of estimates:*

$$|\langle\langle e^{-itH_0}\psi, G\rangle\rangle_T| \le s_1 ||\psi||_{\mathcal{H}} ||G||_{L^2_T\mathcal{B}}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$|\langle\langle \Gamma_{H_0} F, G\rangle\rangle_T| \le s_2 ||F||_{L^2_T\mathcal{A}} ||G||_{L^2_T\mathcal{B}}, \tag{2.6}$$

$$||V_1 \Gamma^*_{H_0} G||_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}} \le s_3 ||G||_{L^2_T\mathcal{B}}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$||V_1 \Gamma_{H_0} F||_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}} \le s_4 ||F||_{L^2_T\mathcal{A}}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$||V_2 \Gamma_{H_0} F||_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}} \le s_5 ||F||_{L^2_T\mathcal{A}}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$||V_2 e^{-itH}\psi||_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}} \le s_6 ||\psi||_{\mathcal{H}}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$||V_2 \Gamma_H V_2^* \widetilde{G}||_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}} \le s_7 ||\widetilde{G}||_{L^2_T\mathcal{H}}. \tag{2.11}$$

(1) *Suppose there exist constants $s_1, s_3, s_6 > 0$ such that* (2.5), (2.7) *and* (2.10) *are satisfied for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ and simple function $G : [-T, T] \to \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{B}$: Then one has*

$$|\langle\langle e^{-itH}\psi, G\rangle\rangle_T| \le (s_1 + s_3 s_6) ||\psi||_{\mathcal{H}} ||G||_{L^2_T\mathcal{B}}.$$

for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ and simple function $G : [-T, T] \to \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{B}$.
(2) *Suppose that there exist constants $s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_7 > 0$ such that* (2.6), (2.7), (2.8), (2.9) *and* (2.11) *hold for all simple functions $F : [-T, T] \to \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{A}$, $G : [-T, T] \to \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{B}$ and $\widetilde{G} : [-T, T] \to D(H)$. Then one has*

$$|\langle\langle \Gamma_H F, G\rangle\rangle_T| \le (s_2 + s_3 s_5 + s_3 s_4 s_7) ||F||_{L^2_T\mathcal{A}} ||G||_{L^2_T\mathcal{B}}.$$

for all simple functions $F : [-T, T] \to \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{A}$ and $G : [-T, T] \to \mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{B}$.

Sketch of the proof. At a formal level, we have the following Duhamel formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-itH} &= e^{-itH_0} - i\Gamma_{H_0} V_1^* V_2 e^{-itH}, \\ \Gamma_H &= \Gamma_{H_0} - i\Gamma_{H_0} V_1^* V_2 \Gamma_{H_0} - \Gamma_{H_0} V_1^* V_2 \Gamma_H (V_1^* V_2)^* \Gamma_{H_0}, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (2.5)–(2.11), imply the assertion (at least formally). For the rigorous argument, we refer to [3, Section 4]. □

3 Proof of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3

Let $H = -\Delta + V(x)$ be as in Theorem 1.2. This section is devoted to the proof of the main theorems. In what follows we use a standard notation $2^* = 2n/(n-2)$, $2_* = 2n/(n+2)$. We write $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_{-\Delta}$. Let us first recall various estimates for the free Schrödinger equation.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists $C > 0$ such that, for any $v \in L^{n,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T > 0$,*

$$||e^{it\Delta}\psi||_{L^2_T L^{2^*,2}} \le C||\psi||_{L^2}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$||\Gamma_0 F||_{L^2_T L^{2^*,2}} \le C||F||_{L^2_T L^{2_*,2}}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$||v(x)\Gamma_0 F||_{L^2_T L^2} \le C||v||_{L^{n,\infty}}||F||_{L^2_T L^{2_*,2}}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$||v(x)\Gamma_0^* F||_{L^2_T L^2} \le C||v||_{L^{n,\infty}}||F||_{L^2_T L^{2_*,2}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. (3.1) and (3.2) are endpoint Strichartz estimates proved by [18, Theorem 10.1]. The latter two estimates follow from (3.2), Hölder's inequality (1.10) and the duality. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $w \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be as in Theorem 1.2, $\rho > 1/2$ and $v \in L^{n,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then there exists $C > 0$, independent of w, v and $T > 0$, such that, for all $\psi \in L^2$ and simple function $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{S}$, one has*

$$||w(|x|)|D|^{1/2}e^{it\Delta}\psi||_{L^2_T L^2} \le C||w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||\psi||_{L^2}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$||w(|x|)|D|^{1/2}\Gamma_0 F||_{L^2_T L^2} \le C||w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||F||_{L^2_T L^{2^*,2}}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$||v(x)\Gamma_0^* F||_{L^2_T L^2} \le C||v||_{L^{n,\infty}}||w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||w(|x|)^{-1}|D|^{-1/2}F||_{L^2_T L^2}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$||\langle x\rangle^{-\rho}|D|^{1/2}e^{it\Delta}\psi||_{L^2_T L^2} \le C||\psi||_{L^2}, \tag{3.8}$$

$$||\langle x\rangle^{-\rho}|D|^{1/2}\Gamma_0 F||_{L^2_T L^2} \le C||\langle x\rangle^{\rho}|D|^{-1/2}F||_{L^2_T L^2}, \tag{3.9}$$

$$||\langle x\rangle^{-\rho}|D|^{1/2}\Gamma_0 F||_{L^2_T L^2} \le C||F||_{L^2_T L^{2_*,2}}, \tag{3.10}$$

$$||v(x)\Gamma_0^* F||_{L^2_T L^2} \le C||v||_{L^{n,\infty}}||\langle x\rangle^{\rho}|D|^{-1/2}F||_{L^2_T L^2}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$||v(x)\Gamma_0 F||_{L^2_T L^2} \le C||v||_{L^{n,\infty}}||\langle x\rangle^{\rho}|D|^{-1/2}F||_{L^2_T L^2}. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. Let us first consider (3.5). When $n = 1$, it was proved by [19] that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |||D_x|^{1/2}e^{it\partial_x^2}f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_t)} \le C||f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_x)}$$

which, together with the unitarity of $e^{it\Delta_{\widehat{x}_j}}$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$, implies

$$|||D_j|^{1/2}e^{it\Delta}\psi||_{L^\infty_{x_j} L^2_T L^2_{\widehat{x}_j}} \le C||\psi||_{L^2}, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n,$$

uniformly in $T > 0$, where $\widehat{x}_j = (x_1, ..., x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $D_j = -i\partial_{x_j}$. (3.5) is then derived from this estimate as follows. Let $\{C_j(\xi)\}$ be a conical partition of unity on $\mathbb{R}^n$ so that $I = \sum_{j=1}^n C_j(\xi)$, where $C_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ such that $\operatorname{supp} C_j \subset \{2|\xi_j| > |\xi|\}$ and $\partial_\xi^\alpha C_j(\xi) = O(|\xi|^{-|\alpha|})$. If we set $\widetilde{C}_j(\xi) = C_j(\xi)|\xi|^{1/2}|\xi_j|^{-1/2}$ then $\widetilde{C}_j$ also satisfies $\partial_\xi^\alpha C_j(\xi) = O(|\xi|^{-|\alpha|})$ and $|\xi|^{1/2} = \sum_{j=1}^n \widetilde{C}_j(\xi)|\xi_j|^{1/2}$. Since $w(|x|)^2$ belongs to the Muckenhoupt A_2-class, $\widetilde{C}_j(D)$ is bounded on a weighted space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, w(|x|)^2 dx)$ by weighted Mikhlin's multiplier theorem (see [20]). Thus we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
||w(|x|)|D|^{1/2}e^{it\Delta}\psi||^2_{L^2_T L^2} &\le \sum_{j=1}^n ||w(|x|)\widetilde{C}_j(D)|D_j|^{1/2}e^{it\Delta}\psi||^2_{L^2_T L^2} \\
&\le C\sum_{j=1}^n ||w(|x|)|D_j|^{1/2}e^{it\Delta}\psi||^2_{L^2_T L^2} \\
&\le C\sum_{j=1}^n ||w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}|||D_j|^{1/2}e^{it\Delta}\psi||^2_{L^\infty_{x_j} L^2_T L^2_{\widehat{x}_j}} \\
&\le C||w||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}||\psi||^2_{L^2}
\end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $T>0$, where we used the properties $w(|x|)\le C_j w(x_j)$ and $w\in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ in the third line. Next, by the same argument as above, (3.6) follows from the following estimate

$$\||||D_j|^{1/2}\Gamma_0 F||_{L^\infty_{x_j}L^2_T L^2_{\widehat{x}_j}}\le C||F||_{L^2_T L^{2*,2}}.$$

which is a slight generalization of [15, Lemma 4], in which the same estimate with $L^{2*,2}$ replaced by L^{2*} was proved, and the proof is essentially same. For reader's convenience, we briefly recall its strategy. Without loss of generality, we may assume $j=1$. Then it suffices to show

$$\sup_{x_1}\||||D_j|^{1/2}\widetilde{\Gamma}_0 F||_{L^2_T L^2_{\widehat{x}_1}}\le C||F||_{L^2_T L^{2*,2}}, \tag{3.13}$$

where $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{\Gamma}_0 F(t):=\int_{-\infty}^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta}F(s)ds.$$

Indeed, the corresponding estimate for $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0-\Gamma_0$ follows from (3.5) and the dual estimate of (3.1). The only difference from the proof of [15, Lemma 4] is an interpolation step. While they used the complex interpolation, we will use a real interpolation technique as in [18, Section 6]. Let $I_\pm=\mathbf{1}_\pm(D_1)|D_1|^{1/2}\widetilde{\Gamma}_0$, where $\mathbf{1}_\pm(t)=1$ for $\pm t\ge 0$ and $\mathbf{1}_\pm(t)=0$ for $\mp t\ge 0$. It suffices to show that I_+ is bounded from $L^2_T L^{2*,2}$ to $L^2_T L^2_{\widehat{x}_1}$ uniformly in x_1 since the proof for I_- being analogous. By the TT^* argument, $I_+\in\mathbb{B}(L^2_T L^{2*,2}, L^2_T L^2_{\widehat{x}_1})$ if $I_+^*I_+$ is bounded from $L^2_T L^{2*,2}$ to $L^2_T L^{2^*,2}$. Hence, if we define a bilinear form I by

$$I(F,G):=\iint\langle I_+F(s,\cdot),I_+G(t,\cdot)\rangle dsdt$$

then it suffices to show that

$$|I(F,G)|\le C||F||_{L^2_T L^{2*,2}}||G||_{L^2_T L^{2*,2}} \tag{3.14}$$

uniformly in x_1 and $T>0$. It was shown by [15] that $I_+^*I_+$ is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the kernel of $I_+^*I_+$, denoted by $K_+(t,s,x,y)$, satisfies the dispersive estimate:

$$|K_+(t,s,x,y)|\le C|t-s|^{-n/2},\quad t\ne s.$$

We decompose $I(F,G)$ as

$$I(F,G)=\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}I_k(F,G),\ I_k(F,G):=\iint_{t-2^{k+1}}^{t-2^k}\langle I_+F(s,\cdot),I_+G(t,\cdot)\rangle dsdt.$$

By using the same argument as in [18, Lemma 4.1], we see that

$$|I_k(F,G)|\le C2^{-k\beta(a,b)}||F||_{L^2_T L^{a'}}||G||_{L^2_T L^{b'}},\quad \beta(a,b)=\frac{n}{2}-1-\frac{n}{2}(\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{b})$$

uniformly in $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, where (a,b) satisfies one of the following conditions:

$$\text{(i)}\ \ \frac{1}{a}=\frac{1}{b}=0;\quad \text{(ii)}\ \ \frac{n-1}{2n}\le\frac{1}{a}\le\frac{1}{2}\text{ and }\frac{1}{b}=\frac{1}{2};\quad \text{(iii)}\ \ \frac{n-1}{2n}\le\frac{1}{b}\le\frac{1}{2}\text{ and }\frac{1}{a}=\frac{1}{2}.$$

In other words, a vector valued sequence $(I_k)_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is bounded from $L^2_T L^{a'}_x\times L^2_T L^{b'}_x$ to $\ell^\infty_{\beta(a,b)}$, where $\ell^p_s=L^p(\mathbb{Z},2^{js}dj)$ is a weighted ℓ^p space with the counting measure dj. Then (3.14) follows from the technique by [18, Section 6] based on a bilinear real interpolation.

The estimate (3.7) follows from the dual estimate of (3.6) and Hölder's inequality (1.10). For (3.8) and (3.9), we refer to [8]. (3.10) and (3.11) follow from (3.6) and (3.7) since $\langle x\rangle^{-\rho}$ satisfies the condition on w in Theorem 1.2. In order to derive (3.12), we observe from the formula (2.4) that Γ_0 can be brought to the form

$$\Gamma_0 F(t) = -\Gamma_0^* F(t) \pm \Gamma_0^0 F(t) + \Gamma_0^{\mp} F(t)$$

for $\pm t \geq 0$, where

$$\Gamma_0^0 F(t) = \int_{-T}^{T} e^{-i(t-s)H} F(s) ds, \quad \Gamma_0^{\pm} F(t) = \int_0^{\pm T} e^{-i(t-s)H} F(s) ds.$$

Then the desired estimate for Γ_0^* is nothing but (3.10); the desired estimates for Γ_0^0 and $\Gamma_0^{\mp}$ follow from (3.1), the dual estimate of (3.8) and Hölder's inequality (1.10). □

The following, proved by [1] (see also [3, Appendix B]), also plays an important role.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $n \geq 3$ and V satisfy Assumption A. Then $|x|^{-1}$ is H-supersmooth.*

We are in a position to show the main theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let us set $V_1 = |x|V$ and $V_2 = |x|^{-1}$. By Sobolev's inequality (1.11),

$$||V_j f||_{L^2} \leq C||V_j||_{L^{n,\infty}} ||f||_{L^{2^*,2}} \leq C||V_j||_{L^{n,\infty}} ||\nabla f||_{L^2}$$

and hence $D(V_j) \supset \mathcal{H}^1 \supset D(\Delta) \cup D(H)$. Moreover, (3.1) and Proposition 2.1 (1) show that both V_1 and V_2 are $-\Delta$-smooth. On the other hand, Propositions 2.1 and 3.3 show

$$||V_2 e^{-itH}\psi||_{L^2_T L^2} \leq C||\psi||_{L^2} \tag{3.15}$$

uniformly in $T > 0$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ the completion of C_0^∞ with respect to the norm $||w(|x|)^{-1}|D|^{-1/2} f||_{L^2}$. By virtue of (3.5), (3.7) and (3.15), one can use Proposition 2.3 with $H_0 = -\Delta$, $H = -\Delta + V$ and this $\mathcal{B}$ to obtain

$$|\langle\langle e^{-itH}\psi, G\rangle\rangle_T| \leq C||w||_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} ||\psi||_{L^2} ||G||_{\mathcal{B}}$$

for all $\psi \in L^2$ and simple function $G : [-T, T] \to \mathcal{S}$ uniformly in $T > 0$. Then the desired estimate follows from density and duality arguments. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We use the same decomposition $V = V_1 V_2$ as above. Since V_2 is H-supersmooth, we learn by Proposition 2.1 and a remark after Lemma 2.2 that

$$||V_2 \Gamma_H V_2 \widetilde{G}||_{L^2_T L^2} \leq C||\widetilde{G}||_{L^2_T L^2} \tag{3.16}$$

for all simple function $\widetilde{G} : \mathbb{R} \to D(V_2)$ with the constant C independent of T and $\widetilde{G}$. By virtue of (3.1)–(3.4), (3.8)–(3.12) with $v \in \{V_1, V_2\}$, (3.15) and (3.16), we can use Proposition 2.3 with $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \{\dot{\mathcal{H}}^{-1/2,\rho}, L^{2_*,2}\}$ to obtain

$$|\langle\langle e^{-itH}\psi, G\rangle\rangle_T| \leq C||\psi||_{L^2} ||G||_{L^2_T \mathcal{B}}, \quad |\langle\langle \Gamma_H F, G\rangle\rangle_T| \leq C||F||_{L^2_T \mathcal{A}} ||G||_{L^2_T \mathcal{B}}$$

uniformly in $T > 0$, $\psi \in L^2$ and simple functions $F, G : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{S}$. Then the assertion follows from density of simple functions $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{S}$ in $L^2_T \mathcal{A}$ and $L^2_T \mathcal{B}$ and the formula (1.8). □

References

[1] J. A. Barceló, L. Vega, M. Zubeldia, *The forward problem for the electromagnetic Helmholtz equation with critical singularities*, Adv. Math. **240** (2013), 636–671

[2] M. Ben-Artzi, S. Klainerman, *Decay and regularity for the Schrödinger equation*, J. Ana lyse Math. **58** (2004) 25–37

[3] J. -M. Bouclet, H. Mizutani, *Uniform resolvent and Strichartz estimates for Schrödinger equations with critical singularities*, preprint. http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.01187

[4] T. A. Bui, P. D'Ancona, X. T. Duong, J. Li, F. K. Ly, *Weighted estimates for powers and smoothing estimates of Schrödinger operators with inverse-square potentials*, preprint. http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.01938v1

[5] N. Burq, F. Planchon, J. G. Stalker, A.S. Tahvildar-Zadeh, *Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with the inverse-square potential*, J. Funct. Anal. **203** (2003), 519–549

[6] N. Burq, F. Planchon, J. G. Stalker, A.S. Tahvildar-Zadeh, *Strichartz estimates for the wave and Schrödinger equations with potentials of critical decay*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **53** (2004), 1665–1680

[7] T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant. Lect. Nates Math. vol. 10, AMS, Providence, RI, (2003)

[8] H. Chihara, *Smoothing effects of dispersive pseudodifferential equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **27** (2002) 1953–2005

[9] P. D'Ancona, *Kato smoothing and Strichartz estimates for wave equations with magnetic potentials*, Commun. Math. Phys. **335** (2015), 1–16

[10] T, Duyckaerts, *A singular critical potential for the Schrödinger operator*, Canad. Math. Bull. **50** (2007), 35–47

[11] L. Fanelli, L. Vega, *Magnetic virial identities, weak dispersion and Strichartz inequalities*, Math. Ann. 344 (2009) 249–278.

[12] M. Goldberg, *Strichartz estimates for the Schrödinger equation with time-periodic $L^{n/2}$ potentials*, J. Funct. Anal. **256** (2009), 718–746

[13] M. Goldberg, L. Vega and N. Visciglia, *Counterexamples of Strichartz inequalities for Schrödinger equations with repulsive potentials*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2006** (2006) Article ID 13927

[14] L. Grafakos, *Classical Fourier analysis. Second edition*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 249. Springer, New York, (2008)

[15] A. D. Ionescu, C. E. Kenig, *Well-posedness and local smoothing of solutions of Schrödinger equations*, Math. Res. Lett. **12** (2005) 193–205

[16] T. Kato, *Wave operators and similarity for some non-self-adjoint operators*, Math. Ann. **162** (1965/1966), 258–279

[17] T. Kato, K. Yajima, *Some examples of smooth operators and the associated smoothing effect*, Rev. Math. Phys. **1** (1989), 481–496

[18] M. Keel, T. Tao, *Endpoint Strichartz estimates*, Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), 955-980

[19] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega, *Oscillatory integrals and regularity of dispersive equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **40** (1991), 33–69

[20] D. S. Kurtz, R. L. Wheeden, *Results on weighted norm inequalities for multiplies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **255** (1979) 343–362

[21] J. Marzuola, J. Metcalfe, D. Tataru, *Strichartz estimates and local smoothing estimates for asymptotically flat Schrödinger equations*, J. Funct. Anal. **255** (2008), 1497-1553

[22] H. Mizutani, *Remarks on endpoint Strichartz estimates for Schrödinger equations with the critical inverse-square potential*, preprint. http://arxiv.org/abs/1607.02848

[23] H. Mizutani, *Uniform Sobolev estimates for Schrödinger operators with scaling-critical potentials and applications*, preprint. http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.03253

[24] K. Mochizuki, *Uniform resolvent estimates for magnetic Schrödinger operators and smoothing effects for related evolution equations*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **46** (2010), 741–754

[25] M. Reed, B. Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics III, IV, Academic Press, 1979, 1978

[26] I. Rodnianski, W. Schlag, *Time decay for solutions of Schrödinger equations with rough and time-dependent potentials*, Invent. Math. **155** (2004), 451–513

[27] M. Ruzhansky and M. Sugimoto, *Structural resolvent estimates and derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Commun. Math. Phys. **314** (2012), 281-304

[28] T. Tao, *Nonlinear Dispersive Equations: Local and Global Analysis*, CBMS Regional Series in Mathematics. Providence, RI: AMS, 2006

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan.

E-mail address: haruya@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp